

| | |
|-------------|--|
| Title | MONSTROUS MOONSHINE OVER \mathbb{Z} ? (Research on algebraic combinatorics and representation theory of finite groups and vertex operator algebras) |
| Author(s) | Carnahan, Scott |
| Citation | 数理解析研究所講究録 = RIMS Kokyuroku (2018), 2086: 91-98 |
| Issue Date | 2018-08 |
| URL | http://hdl.handle.net/2433/251562 |
| Right | |
| Type | Departmental Bulletin Paper |
| Textversion | publisher |

MONSTROUS MOONSHINE OVER \mathbb{Z} ?

SCOTT CARNAHAN

ABSTRACT. Monstrous Moonshine was extended in two complementary directions during the 1980s and 1990s, giving rise to Norton's Generalized Moonshine conjecture and Ryba's Modular Moonshine conjecture. Both conjectures have been unconditionally established in the last few years, so we describe some speculative conjectures that may extend and unify them.

1. MONSTROUS MOONSHINE AND ITS EXTENSIONS

Monstrous moonshine began in the 1970s with an examination of the modular J -invariant, which we normalize as:

$$J(\tau) = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \cdots$$

Here, τ ranges over the complex upper half-plane \mathfrak{H} , and the expansion in powers of $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ is the Fourier expansion. The J -function is a Hauptmodul for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, meaning J is invariant under the standard action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathfrak{H} by Möbius transformations, and induces a complex-analytic isomorphism from the quotient $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ to the complex projective line $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ minus finitely many points.

McKay first observed a possible relationship between J and the Monster sporadic simple group \mathbb{M} , pointing out that the irreducible representations of \mathbb{M} have lowest dimension 1 and 196883, and that $196884 = 196883 + 1$. Thompson then showed that the next few coefficients of J also decompose into a small number of irreducible representations of \mathbb{M} [Thompson 1979]. This suggested that the coefficients of J , which are all non-negative integers, describe a special class of representations of \mathbb{M} .

The observations by McKay and Thompson were explained by the construction of the Monster vertex operator algebra $V^{\mathfrak{h}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n^{\mathfrak{h}}$ in [Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman 1988]. The appearance of J comes from the fact that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim V_n^{\mathfrak{h}} q^{n-1} = J(\tau)$, and the appearance of the monster comes from the fact that $\text{Aut}(V^{\mathfrak{h}}) \cong \mathbb{M}$.

Borcherds showed in [Borcherds 1992] that $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$ encoded a stronger relationship between modular functions and the monster, showing that for any $g \in \mathbb{M}$, the McKay-Thompson series

$$T_g(\tau) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(g|V_n^{\mathfrak{h}}) q^{n-1}$$

is a Hauptmodul for some discrete group $\Gamma_g < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

This resolved the main “Monstrous Moonshine” conjecture posed in [Conway-Norton 1979], and it also partially resolved the “Jack Daniels” question posed in [Ogg 1974]. As Ogg noted, the 15 primes dividing the order of \mathbb{M} are precisely the primes p such that the modular curve $X_0(p)^+$ is genus zero, and he offered a bottle of Jack Daniels for an explanation. The explanation for the monstrous primes being contained among the genus zero primes is: for each such prime p , there is a conjugacy class pA in the monster, and Borcherds's theorem established that for any $g \in pA$, the McKay-Thompson series $T_g(\tau)$ is a Hauptmodul for $X_0(p)^+$. The reverse containment is unlikely to be resolved without a good understanding for why \mathbb{M} is as big as it is.

One Moonshine-like phenomenon that Borcherds's theorem did not explain was that for $g \in pA$, the q -expansion of $T_g(\tau)$ has non-negative integer coefficients, and furthermore, these coefficients

appear to decompose well into dimensions of representations of the centralizer $C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$. For example, when $p = 2$, the Hauptmodul for $X_0(2)^+$ has the q -expansion

$$q^{-1} + 4372q + 96256q^2 + \dots$$

while the centralizer $2.\mathbb{B}$, a central extension of the Baby monster sporadic group, has irreducible representations of dimension 1, 4371, 96255, 96256, \dots . This suggests that the Hauptmodul for g in the class pA is given by the graded dimension of some natural representation of $C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$. In fact, there were two conjectures, both resolved recently, either of which could be called an explanation of this phenomenon:

- Generalized Moonshine (conjectured in [Norton 1987]): There is a rule that attaches to each $g \in \mathbb{M}$, a graded projective representation $V(g) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} V(g)_n$ of $C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$, and to each commuting pair (g, h) in \mathbb{M} a holomorphic function $Z(g, h; \tau)$ on \mathfrak{H} such that:
 - (1) The series $\sum_n \text{Tr}(\tilde{h}|V(g)_n)q^{n-1}$ converges normally on \mathfrak{H} to $Z(g, h; \tau)$, for \tilde{h} some lift of h to a linear transformation of $V(g)$.
 - (2) The assignment $(g, h) \mapsto Z(g, h; \tau)$ is invariant under simultaneous conjugation up to rescaling.
 - (3) Each function $Z(g, h; \tau)$ is either constant or a Hauptmodul.
 - (4) For any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $Z(g, h; \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d})$ is proportional to $Z(g^a h^c, g^b h^d; \tau)$.
 - (5) $Z(g, h; \tau)$ is proportional to $J(\tau)$ if and only if $g = h = 1$.
- Modular Moonshine (conjectured in [Ryba 1996]): For $g \in pA$, there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ -graded vertex algebra ${}^gV = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} {}^gV_n$ over \mathbb{F}_p such that for any p -regular element $h \in C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$, the graded Brauer character $\text{Tr}(h|{}^gV_n)q^{n-1}$ is equal to the McKay-Thompson series $T_{gh}(\tau)$.

These conjectures were solved along somewhat complementary paths. For Generalized Moonshine, the candidate representation $V(g)$ was more or less identified as the irreducible g -twisted V^{\natural} -module $V^{\natural}(g)$ in [Dixon-Ginsparg-Harvey 1988], and these twisted modules were proved to exist for all $g \in \mathbb{M}$ in [Dong-Li-Mason 1997], resolving claims 1, 2, and 5. However, the main conjectured properties were only resolved recently, with claim 4 proved in [Carnahan-Miyamoto 2016] and claim 3 in [Carnahan 2012]. For Modular Moonshine, the vertex algebras were identified as Tate cohomology groups $\hat{H}^0(g, V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural})$, and the conjectured properties were proved in [Borcherds-Ryba 1996], [Borcherds 1998], and [Borcherds 1999] under the assumption of existence of a self-dual integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural}$ of V^{\natural} . The existence of the essential object was only resolved recently, in [Carnahan 2017b].

In both cases, substantial progress was made in the late 1990s, but complete solutions were not possible due to the underdeveloped state of the theory of vertex operator algebras at the time. The most necessary piece of technology was a solid theory of cyclic orbifolds, worked out in [van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer 2015]. This in turn depended on many foundational developments in the intervening years, such as the Verlinde formula [Huang 2004], a theory of logarithmic tensor products (e.g., [Huang-Lepowsky-Zhang 2010]), C_2 -cofiniteness for fixed-point subVOAs [Miyamoto 2013], and a theory of quantum dimension for VOAs [Dong-Jiao-Xu 2013].

2. OPEN REFINEMENTS

After the Generalized Moonshine and Modular Moonshine conjectures were proposed, some refinements have been proposed based on experimental evidence and theoretical developments.

For Generalized Moonshine, it is natural to ask if the ambiguous constants in claims 2 and 4 can be refined by a good choice of functions $Z(g, h; \tau)$. Norton's original proposal in [Norton 1987] suggested that the constants can be refined to roots of unity, and a later proposal in [Norton 2001] asserted that these roots of unity may be taken to be 24th roots of unity. More recently, the constants were conjectured to be controlled by a nontrivial "Moonshine Anomaly" $\alpha^{\natural} \in H^3(\mathbb{M}, U(1))$ in [Gaberdiel-Persson-Volpato 2013], and Norton's assertion amounts to the claim that this anomaly

has order 24. The refined behavior in claims 2 and 4 are not particularly special in a moonshine sense, as it is expected for any holomorphic vertex operator algebra.

There is some progress on this refinement of Generalized Moonshine:

- (1) In [Carnahan 2017a], Theorem 6.2 asserts that the constants relating Hauptmoduln may be taken to be roots of unity. However, there is still no control over the constant functions. Also, the techniques used to prove this theorem only work for V^h (and other holomorphic vertex operator algebras with the same character, but these were conjectured to not exist in the introduction to [Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman 1988]). A similar result for other holomorphic vertex operator algebras would require additional development in the theory of regularity for fixed-points.
- (2) In [Johnson-Freyd 2017], the main result is that the Moonshine Anomaly has order 24. However, at the moment I am writing this, the proof seems to rest on still-conjectural parts of a dictionary relating the theories of conformal nets and vertex operator algebras.

There have been some intriguing developments regarding connections between elliptic cohomology and monstrous moonshine since the 1980s, but there are still essential ingredients missing from the story. Essentially, there is a conjectural connection through conformal field theory (proposed in [Segal 1987], further developed in [Baker-Thomas 1999] and ongoing work of Stolz and Teichner), and a connection through higher characters (also mentioned in [Segal 1987], following the theory developed in [Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel 2000]). Based on the introduction of [Ganter 2007], it seems that all of the claims of the Generalized Moonshine conjecture except the Hauptmodul claim have had a known basis in elliptic cohomology theory since the 1990s. In particular, claims 2, 4, and 5 in the Generalized Moonshine conjecture (namely those that don't involve $V(g)$ or the Hauptmodul condition) amount to the claim that the collection of functions $Z(g, h; \tau)$ describe an element of twisted equivariant cohomology of a point, in the sense of [Ginzburg-Kapranov-Vasserot 1995]. That is, $Z \in \text{Ell}_{\tilde{M}}^\alpha(pt)$. If we ignore positivity, Claim 1, which connects Z to $V(g)$, amounts to the claim that Z is an element in twisted Devoto equivariant Tate K-theory of a point, i.e., $Z \in K_{\text{Dev}, G}^\alpha(pt)$.

There have been more refinements in recent years. First, Lurie has pointed out in [Lurie 2009] that elliptic cohomology can be made genuinely 2-equivariant, in the sense that we may consider a more functorial approach to equivariance, and also allow categorical groups. This would let us shift from an ad hoc definition of $\text{Ell}_{\tilde{M}}^\alpha(pt)$ to a natural definition of $\text{Ell}_{\tilde{M}}^\alpha(pt)$, where \tilde{M} is a categorical group defined by a 3-cocycle representing α^h , but unfortunately this new definition has yet to fully appear in public. Second, Ganter has employed equivariant Hecke operators, first seen as operations on elliptic cohomology in [Baker 1990], to explore the genus zero condition from a topological perspective in [Ganter 2007]. She defined an exponential operation

$$f \mapsto \Lambda_t^{(2)}(f) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k>0} T_k(f)t^k\right)$$

taking Ell_G^α to $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Ell}_G^{-n\alpha} t^n$ by a formal sum of Hecke operators, and interpreted replicability as the equality $p(f(p) - f(q)) = \Lambda_{-p}^{(2)}(f(q))$. By expanding at a cusp, this formula comes very close to the twisted denominator formulas for Monstrous Lie algebras \mathfrak{m}_g given in [Carnahan 2008] and [Carnahan 2009]. The main difference seems to be the fact that the Weyl denominator of \mathfrak{m}_g is given by $Z(1, g; \sigma) - Z(g, 1; \tau)$ rather than $Z(1, g; \sigma) - Z(1, g; \tau)$. Assuming this can be fixed in a natural way, this condition is essentially the statement that Z is “self-exponential” at chromatic level 2, i.e., the exponential operation $\Lambda^{(2)}$ basically takes Z to a slightly altered version of itself. At chromatic level 1, we have similar behavior with line bundles, since the exterior algebra of a line satisfies $\Lambda^*(L) = 1 + L$. That is, self-exponential objects are “exceptionally small” in a way that is highly nontrivial in the case of elliptic objects. We then reformulate Generalized Moonshine as:

Conjecture 2.1. V^{\natural} defines an element of $\text{Ell}^{\alpha^{\natural}}(BM)$ that is self-exponential.

The main reason this is still conjectural is because the words “defines” and “self-exponential” are still rather ill-defined.

For Modular Moonshine, the behavior that is now known to hold for Fricke-invariant classes of prime order is also expected to hold for all Fricke-invariant classes. That is, we have:

Conjecture 2.2. (essentially [Borcherds-Ryba 1996] open problems 3 and 4) For each Fricke element $g \in \mathbb{M}$, we have $\hat{H}^1(g, V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural}) = 0$. Furthermore, the vertex algebra $\hat{H}^0(g, V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural})$ over $\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$ admits an action of $C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$ by automorphisms, such that for any $h \in C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$ satisfying $(|g|, |h|) = 1$, the graded Brauer character of h on $\hat{H}^0(g, V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural})$ is equal to the q -expansion of the McKay-Thompson series $T_{gh}(\tau)$.

The case where g has prime order amounts to the Modular Moonshine conjecture, which is settled. However, [Borcherds-Ryba 1996] Theorem 4.7 also yields the vanishing of $\hat{H}^1(g, V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural})$ for g in the composite order classes 15A and 21A. This conjecture does not seem to be far beyond the reach of current technology.

3. UNIFICATIONS

It is reasonable to ask whether the two conjectures that extend Monstrous Moonshine are really part of some larger, unified Moonshine. Borcherds proposed one possible unifying conjecture, which we rephrase slightly:

Conjecture 3.1. ([Borcherds 1998] Conjecture 7.1) There is a rule that assigns to each element $g \in \mathbb{M}$ a $\frac{1}{|g|}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded super-module over $\mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/|g|}]$, equipped with an action of a central extension $(\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}).C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) ${}^1\hat{V}$ is a self-dual integral form of V^{\natural} .
- (2) If g and h commute, then $\hat{H}^*(\hat{g}, {}^h\hat{V}) \cong {}^{gh}\hat{V} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$ for some lift \hat{g} of g .
- (3) If g is Fricke (i.e., $T_g(\tau) = T_g(\frac{1}{N\tau})$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$), then
 - (a) ${}^g\hat{V} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z} \cong \hat{H}^0(g, {}^1\hat{V})$ as vertex algebras over $\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$ with $C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$ -action. In particular, $\hat{H}^1(g, {}^1\hat{V}) = 0$.
 - (b) ${}^g\hat{V} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong V^{\natural}(g)$ as graded $(\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}).C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$ -modules.
- (4) ${}^g\hat{V}$ is “often” a vertex superalgebra with a conformal vector and a self-dual invariant symmetric bilinear form.
- (5) If g and h commute and have coprime order, then the graded Brauer character of some lift of g on ${}^h\hat{V}$ is equal to $T_{gh}(\tau)$.

This conjecture is not a true unification, since it does not fully encompass Generalized Moonshine. In particular, the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and Hauptmodul claims are missing, and there are no claims about non-Fricke twisted modules. These can be added by hand, but without some additional structure, they do not seem to blend into the rest of the conjecture naturally.

It may be rewarding to consider how much of Borcherds’s conjecture we can prove with current technology.

First, we may assign to ${}^1\hat{V}$ a self-dual integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural}$ with \mathbb{M} -symmetry. We have existence from Theorem 2.4.2 of [Carnahan 2017b], but do not have a good uniqueness result yet.

Second, we can get pretty close to a candidate object for ${}^g\hat{V}$ when g is Fricke:

Theorem 3.2. Let $g \in \mathbb{M}$ be a Fricke element, and let N be the level of $T_g(\tau)$. Then there is a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, e^{2\pi i/N}]$ -form of the irreducible twisted module $V^{\natural}(g)$, with an action of $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\natural} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, e^{2\pi i/N}]$, and compatible symmetries by $(\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}).C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 of [Paquette-Persson-Volpato 2017] (in the anomaly-free case) and Proposition 3.2 of [Carnahan 2017a] (in the anomalous case), the cyclic orbifold dual V^{\natural}/g is isomorphic to V^{\natural} . Then by Proposition 1.4.1 of [Carnahan 2017b], there is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, e^{2\pi i/N}]$ -form of the abelian intertwining algebra ${}^g_N V^{\natural}$ with an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}).C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$ by homogeneous automorphisms. The central $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ acts by scalar multiplication, and the description of cyclic orbifolds in [van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer 2015] allows us to reduce this to $\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$. This abelian intertwining algebra contains the $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, e^{2\pi i/N}]$ -form of $V^{\natural}(g)$ as a sub- $(V^{\natural})^g_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, e^{2\pi i/N}]$ -module. \square

This theorem yields a candidate for ${}^g\hat{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/|g|}]} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, e^{2\pi i/N}]$. To obtain ${}^g\hat{V}$ itself, we would need to choose a suitable stable lattice whose reduction to $\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$ is equivariantly isomorphic to $\hat{H}^0(g, {}^1\hat{V})$. Even assuming Conjecture 2.2, we would have to glue characteristic zero representations to characteristic p^k representations for various primes p . As Jeremy Rickard pointed out to me at [Rickard 2018], the fact that the characteristic zero characters are equal to the Brauer characters is far from sufficient for the existence of a compatible mixed characteristic representation.

The conjectured vertex superalgebra structure on ${}^g\hat{V}$ is a bit of a mystery. Borcherds claims in [Borcherds 1998] that we get such a structure for the classes 1A, 2B, 3B, 3C, 5B, 7B, and 13B, but apparently not 2A and 3A. Although I have not seen Borcherds’s constructions, it is natural to extend the pattern of prime-order non-Fricke classes:

Conjecture 3.3. *If g is non-Fricke, then ${}^g\hat{V}$ is a vertex superalgebra.*

One feature that distinguishes the non-Fricke elements of \mathbb{M} is the fact that the cyclic orbifold dual of V^{\natural} along a non-Fricke element is always the Leech lattice vertex operator algebra, as conjectured in [Tuite 1993] and proved in Theorem 4.5 of [Carnahan 2017a]. It is therefore reasonable to expect the following:

Conjecture 3.4. *When g is non-Fricke, there is a natural construction of the vertex superalgebra structure on ${}^g\hat{V}$ using the Leech Lattice.*

Unfortunately, this is rather vague as stated. If we had a more precise formulation, we might be well on our way toward a construction.

4. SPECULATION ABOUT GLUING

In the previous section, we noted that we don’t have an obvious way to glue a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, e^{2\pi i/N}]$ -form of $V^{\natural}(g)$ to the Tate cohomology of $V^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to form ${}^g\hat{V}$. This problem could be resolved if we had a canonical way to lift Tate cohomology to mixed characteristic. Tate cohomology does not in general admit such canonical lifts, but we could hope that there is an additional structure on $V^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, like a non-linear descent datum, that produces such a lift. I have been unable to find a structure of this precise form in the mathematical literature, but it bears some resemblance to various proposals for algebraic structures over the mythical “field of one element”. While \mathbb{F}_1 -structures do not have a universally recognized interpretations, one feature many proposals share is that they let one treat mixed-characteristic and equal-characteristic objects on a roughly equal footing.

Conjecture 4.1. *There is an \mathbb{F}_1 -module structure on $V^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with \mathbb{M} -symmetry, where by “ \mathbb{F}_1 -module structure” we mean that for each $g \in \mathbb{M}$, there is a distinguished lift of the $(\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}).C_{\mathbb{M}}(g)$ -action on $\hat{H}^*(g, V^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ (as a graded module) to the big Witt vectors $W_{\infty}\hat{H}^*(g, V^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Z}})$.*

In [Borger 2008], Λ -rings are proposed as an interpretation for the term “ \mathbb{F}_1 -algebra”. It is then reasonably natural to presume modules ought to have a correspondingly non-linear interaction with Witt vectors. Conjecture 4.1 would yield candidates for the proposed modules ${}^g\hat{V}$, but it does not naturally lead to any exceptional modularity properties, such as the Hauptmodul property for p -singular elements.

5. CONNECTIONS TO NEWER MOONSHINES

In 2014, an amateur mathematician, Tito Piezas III, posted a question proposing a Thompson moonshine phenomenon on mathoverflow.net, a question and answer site for mathematicians. The initial observation was that the weight $1/2$ weakly holomorphic modular form

$$b(\tau) = q^{-3} + 4 - 240q + 26760q^4 - 85995q^5 + \dots$$

has coefficients that easily decompose into combinations of dimensions of irreducible representations of the Thompson sporadic group Th . It was quickly pointed out that there is already a Thompson moonshine, coming from Generalized Moonshine for the class 3C, where the twisted module has character $\sqrt[3]{j(\tau/3)} = q^{-1/9} + 248q^{2/9} + 4124q^{5/9} + \dots$ and an action of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times Th$. These two moonshines are connected by the Harvey-Moore-Borcherds correspondence, where up to some adjustment with eta functions and reparametrization, the Borcherds product attached to $b(\tau)$ is equal to the character of the irreducible 3C-twisted V^h -module. Generalized Moonshine then gives an explanation for the appearance of Thompson representations attached to powers of q in $b(\tau)$ that are squares. However, there is at this time no explanation for the representation-theoretic content of other coefficients.

Piezas's observation was refined to a concrete conjecture in [Harvey-Rayhoun 2015], and the conjecture was solved in [Griffin-Mertens 2016]. The function $b(\tau)$ was replaced with

$$2b(\tau) + 240\theta(\tau) = 2q^{-3} + 248 + 2 \cdot 27000q^4 - 2 \cdot 85995q^5 + \dots$$

to remove sign ambiguities, and the theorem is that there is a graded Th -super-module $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq -3} V_n$ whose graded dimension is $2b(\tau) + 240\theta(\tau)$, such that for any $h \in Th$, the trace function $f_h := \sum_{n \geq -3} \text{Tr}(h|V_n)q^n$ is a modular form of weight $1/2$ for some congruence group controlled by the order of h . We find that the Borcherds product attached to $2b(\tau) + 240\theta(\tau)$ is equal to $\eta^{248}Z(1, g; \tau)^2$ for g an element of class 3C in \mathcal{M} . More generally, one may ask whether Borcherds products take f_h to suitably altered Generalized Moonshine functions, or perhaps Modular Moonshine functions, and there are some promising partial answers. However, the nature of this correspondence is still a complete mystery.

The presence of a square in $\eta^{248}Z(g, 1; \tau)^2$ is quite similar to the situation in Mathieu Moonshine, where the Borcherds lift of the elliptic genus of a K3 surface yields the Igusa cusp form Φ_{10} . In this case, $1/\Phi_{10}$ is the square of a function with representation-theoretic interest, namely the Weyl-Kac-Borcherds denominator of a hyperbolic-type Lie algebra [Gritsenko-Nikulin 1995]. I do not know a good explanation for the natural appearance of squares of characters of infinite dimensional algebras.

Another mystery comes from a conjecture of Borcherds that was resolved in [Griess-Lam 2013], asserting that the Modular Moonshine vertex algebra for 3C is isomorphic to the E_8 -vertex algebra over \mathbb{F}_3 . This means the vertex algebra has symmetries of $E_8(\mathbb{F}_3)$, which is far larger than Th . While Th embeds in $E_8(\mathbb{F}_3)$, it does not embed in $E_8(\mathbb{C})$. This seems to be evidence against the idea that the conjectural module ${}^g\hat{V}$ can be made by simply taking an integral form of the E_8 vertex algebra.

Finally, a generalization of Thompson moonshine to other weight $1/2$ forms, called “skew-holomorphic moonshine”, was announced by Duncan, Harvey, and Rayhoun in 2016. While we are still waiting for a paper, it appears that applying the Borcherds product construction to the certain vector-valued forms yields modular functions that can range over all Fricke-invariant McKay-Thompson series. This suggests the existence of a connection to modular moonshine for Fricke g . Once again, we don't seem to have any theoretical underpinning of the underlying objects.

REFERENCES

- [Baker 1990] A. Baker, *Hecke operators as operations in elliptic cohomology* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **63** (1990), 1–11.

- [Baker-Thomas 1999] A. Baker, C. Thomas, *Classifying spaces, virasoro equivariant bundles, elliptic cohomology and moonshine* Glasgow University Mathematics Department preprint 99/39.
- [Borcherds 1992] R. Borcherds, *Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras* Invent. Math. **109** (1992) 405–444.
- [Borcherds 1998] R. Borcherds, *Modular moonshine III* Duke Math. J. **93** no. 1 (1998) 129–154.
- [Borcherds 1999] R. Borcherds, *The fake monster formal group* Duke Math. J. **100** no. 1 (1999) 139–165.
- [Borcherds-Ryba 1996] R. Borcherds, A. Ryba, *Modular moonshine II* Duke Math. J. **83** (1996) no. 2, 435–459.
- [Borger 2008] J. Borger, *The basic geometry of Witt vectors, I The affine case* Algebra and Number Theory **5** no. 2 (2011) 231–285. Available as <https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.1691>
- [Carnahan 2008] S. Carnahan, *Generalized Moonshine I: Genus zero functions* Algebra and Number Theory **4** no. 6 (2010) 649–679. Available as <https://arxiv.org/abs/0812.3440>
- [Carnahan 2009] S. Carnahan, *Generalized Moonshine II: Borcherds products* Duke Math J. **161** no. 5 (2012) 893–950. Available as <https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.4223>
- [Carnahan 2012] S. Carnahan, *Generalized Moonshine IV: Monstrous Lie algebras* ArXiv preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.6254>
- [Carnahan 2017a] S. Carnahan, *51 constructions of the moonshine module* ArXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.02954>
- [Carnahan 2017b] S. Carnahan, *Four self-dual integral forms of the moonshine module* ArXiv preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.00737>
- [Carnahan-Miyamoto 2016] S. Carnahan, M. Miyamoto, *Regularity of fixed-point vertex operator subalgebras* ArXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05645>
- [Conway-Norton 1979] J. Conway, S. Norton, *Monstrous Moonshine* Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **11** (1979) 308–339.
- [Dixon-Ginsparg-Harvey 1988] L. Dixon, P. Ginsparg, J. Harvey, *Beauty and the Beast: Superconformal Symmetry in a Monster Module* Commun. Math. Phys. **119** (1988) 221–241.
- [Dong-Jiao-Xu 2013] C. Dong, X. Jiao and F. Xu, *Quantum dimensions and quantum Galois theory* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), no. 12, 6441–6469.
- [Dong-Li-Mason 1997] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, *Modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory* Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (2000) no. 1, 1–56. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9703016>
- [van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer 2015] J. van Ekeren, S. Möller, N. Scheithauer, *Construction and classification of vertex operator algebras* ArXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.08142>
- [Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman 1988] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster* Pure and Applied Mathematics **134** Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, (1988).
- [Gaberdiel-Persson-Volpato 2013] M. R. Gaberdiel, D. Persson, R. Volpato, *Generalised Moonshine and Holomorphic Orbifolds* Proceedings, String-Math 2012, Bonn, Germany, July 16–21, 2012, (2013) 73–86. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.5425>
- [Ganter 2007] *Hecke operators in equivariant elliptic cohomology and generalized Moonshine* Harnad, John (ed.) et al., Groups and symmetries. From Neolithic Scots to John McKay. AMS CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes **47** (2009) 173–209. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/0706.2898>
- [Ginzburg-Kapranov-Vasserot 1995] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, E. Vasserot, *Elliptic algebras and equivariant elliptic cohomology i.* ArXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9505012>
- [Griess-Lam 2013] R. Griess, C. H. Lam, *Groups of Lie Type, Vertex Algebras, and Modular Moonshine* International Mathematics Research Notices **2015** Issue 21, 1 January 2015 10716–10755. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.2270>
- [Griffin-Mertens 2016] M. Griffin, M. Mertens *A proof of the Thompson moonshine conjecture* Research in the Mathematical Sciences **3** no. 36 Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.03078>
- [Gritsenko-Nikulin 1995] V. A. Gritsenko and V. V. Nikulin, *Siegel automorphic form corrections of some Lorentzian Kac-Moody Lie algebras* Amer. J. Math. **119** no. 1 (1997) 181–224. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9504006>

- [Harvey-Rayhoun 2015] J. Harvey, B. Rayhaun *Traces of Singular Moduli and Moonshine for the Thompson Group* Comm. in Number Theory and Physics **10** (2016) no. 1 pp. 23–62. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.08179>
- [Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel 2000] M. Hopkins, N. Kuhn, D. Ravenel, *Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories* J. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** no.3 (2000) 553–594.
- [Huang 2004] Y.-Z. Huang, *Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **10** (2008), no. 1, 103–154. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406291>
- [Huang-Lepowsky-Zhang 2010] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, L. Zhang. *Logarithmic tensor category theory, VI: Expansion condition, associativity of logarithmic intertwining operators, and the associativity isomorphisms*. ArXiv preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4202>
- [Johnson-Freyd 2017] T. Johnson-Freyd, *The Moonshine Anomaly* ArXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08388>
- [Lurie 2009] J. Lurie, *A Survey of Elliptic Cohomology* In: N. Baas, E. Friedlander, B. Jahren, P. Østvær (eds) Algebraic Topology. Abel Symposia, vol 4. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2009) 219–277.
- [Miyamoto 2013] M. Miyamoto, *C_2 -cofiniteness of cyclic-orbifold models*, Comm. Math. Phys. **335** (2015), no. 3, 1279–1286. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.5031>
- [Norton 1987] S. Norton, *Generalized moonshine* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **47** Part 1, The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups (Arcata, Calif., 1986), 209–210, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1987).
- [Norton 2001] S. Norton, *From Moonshine to the Monster* Proceedings on Moonshine and related topics (Montréal, QC, 1999), 163–171, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **30**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2001).
- [Ogg 1974] A. Ogg, *Automorphisms de courbes modulaires* Sem. Delange-Pisot-Poitou, Théorie des nombres **16** no. 1 (1974-1975), exp. no. 7, 1–8.
- [Paquette-Persson-Volpato 2017] N. Paquette, D. Persson, R. Volpato, *BPS algebras, genus zero, and the heterotic Monster* ArXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.05169>
- [Rickard 2018] Jeremy Rickard *Answer to MathOverflow question 295485* URL (version: 2018-03-18): <https://mathoverflow.net/q/295485>
- [Ryba 1996] A. Ryba, *Modular Moonshine?* In “Moonshine, the Monster, and related topics”, edited by Chongying Dong and Geoffrey Mason. Contemporary Mathematics **193** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1996) 307–336.
- [Segal 1987] G. Segal, *Elliptic cohomology* Séminaire N. Bourbaki, 1987–1988, exp. no 695, pp. 187–201.
- [Thompson 1979] J. Thompson, *Some numerology between the Fischer-Griess Monster and the elliptic modular function* Bull. London Math. Soc. **11** no. 3 (1979) 352–353.
- [Tuite 1993] M. Tuite, *On the relationship between monstrous Moonshine and the uniqueness of the Moonshine module* Comm. Math. Phys. **166** (1995) 495–532. Available as <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9305057>.